1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	SHAWN HAGERTY, Bar No. 182435 shawn.hagerty@bbklaw.com BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 655 West Broadway, 15th Floor San Diego, California 92101 Telephone: (619) 525-1300 Facsimile: (619) 233-6118 CHRISTOPHER M. PISANO, Bar No. 192831 christopher.pisano@bbklaw.com SARAH CHRISTOPHER FOLEY, Bar No. 27 sarah.foley@bbklaw.com PATRICK D. SKAHAN, Bar No. 286140 patrick.skahan@bbklaw.com BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 300 South Grand Avenue, 25th Floor Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: (213) 617-8100	
10	Facsimile: (213) 617-7480	
11	Attorneys for Respondent and Cross-Complainant CITY OF SAN BUENAVENTURA	
12	· · - · - · - · - · - ·	
13	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
14	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
15		C N 100TOP01176
16	SANTA BARBARA CHANNELKEEPER, a California non-profit corporation,	Case No. 19STCP01176
17	Petitioner,	Judge: Honorable William F. Highberger
18	v. STATE WATER RESOURCES CONTROL	SUPPLEMENT TO JOINT REPORT Date: August 25, 2022
19		Time: 10:00 a.m Dept: SS10
20	BOARD, etc., et al., Respondents.	Action Filed: September 19, 2014
21	CITY OF SAN BUENAVENTURA, etc.,	Trial Date: TBD
22	Cross-Complainant	
23	V.	
24	DUNCAN ABBOTT, an individual, et al.	
25	Cross-Defendants.	
26	C1055-Detellualits.	
27		
28		
	-1-	

Supplement to Joint Report

1 SUPPLEMENT TO JOINT REPORT 2 The City of Ventura, Casitas Municipal Water District, City Of Ojai, and the East Ojai 3 Group submit this supplement to the joint report filed on August 18, 2022 in advance of the status 4 conference scheduled for August 25, 2022 at 10 a.m. A summary report entitled "Case No. 5 19STCP01176. Status Report 2. Structured Mediation Process" from mediator David Ceppos is 6 attached hereto as Exhibit A. 7 Dated: August 22, 2022 BEST BEST & KRIEGER LLP 8 9 By: 10 SHAWN HAGERTY CHRISTOPHER M. PISANO 11 SARAH CHRISTOPHER FOLEY PATRICK D. SKAHAN 12 Attorneys for Defendant and Cross-Complainant 13 CITY OF SAN BUENAVENTURA 14 Dated: August 22, 2022 **RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP** 15 16 By: /S/ Jeremy N. Jungreis (w/permission) JEREMY N. JUNGREIS 17 Attorneys for Cross-Defendant CASITAS MUNICIPAL WATER DISTRICT 18 19 Dated: August 22, 2022 BARTKIEWICZ KRONICK & SHANAHAN. 20 PC 21 22 By: /S/ Holly Jacobson (w/permission) JENNIFER T. BUCKMAN 23 **HOLLY JACOBSON** Attorneys for Cross-Defendant CITY OF 24 OJAI 25 26 27 28

1	Dated: August 22, 2022	MUSICK, PEELER & GARRETT LLP	
2			
3		By: /S/ Gregory J. Patterson (w/permission) GREGORY J. PATTERSON	
4		WILLIAM W. CADTED	
5		Attorneys for Cross-Defendants EAST OJAI GROUP	
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	- 3 - Supplement to Joint Report		

82470.00018\40646863.1

EXHIBIT A



California State University, Sacramento College of Continuing Education Consensus and Collaboration Program 304 S Street, 3rd Floor · Sacramento, CA 95811

Date: August 18, 2022

To: Honorable William F. Highberger. Superior Court of the State of California, County of Los

Angeles.

From: David Ceppos. Director and Managing Senior Mediator. Sacramento State, Consensus and

Collaboration Program

Subject: Case No. 19STCP01176. Status Report 2. Structured Mediation Process

The following is a status report on the Structured Mediation Process for this Case. For clarity purposes the following parties: Casitas Municipal Water District, the City of Ojai, the City of San Buenaventura, and the East Ojai Group, are defined as the "Initial Mediation Parties" (IMP).

Mediation Process to Date

Since the issuance of Mediator Summary Report 1 on May 25, 2022, the mediator conducted the following activities that have resulted in the following general outcomes.

- Conducted meetings with individual IMPs to support their identification of key issues to be addressed in Session 2 (see description below).
- Met with various legal counsel representatives of individual landowners to discuss the Case and carry out confidential mediation-based interviews to define their respective parties' interests and needs to be resolved in a mediated settlement.
- Met with attorneys representing the State Water Resources Control Board and California
 Department of Fish and Wildlife to discuss the Case and conduct confidential mediation-based
 interviews to define their respective parties' interests and needs to be resolved in a mediated
 settlement.
- Met with various public and private water purveyor organizations and large-scale landowners to
 discuss the status of the mediation to date and to set the stage for future, formal mediationbased interviews to define their respective parties' interests and needs to be resolved in a
 mediated settlement.
- Planned and facilitated Session 2 of the IMPs on June 28-29, 2022. General focus of discussion
 and outcomes included: continued description and expansion of the individual projects and
 overall effort defined as the "Watershed Enhancement Program" (WEP) (formerly referred to as
 the "Physical Solution"),
- Edited and authored portions of the Exempt Class Proposal that defines the basis to be classified as an Exempt Party while still ensuring that said parties remain under the Court's jurisdiction
- Authored and released a watershed-wide email via a master listserve of all defendants and
 interested parties, announcing the availability of the Exempt Class Proposal and the mediator's
 availability to meet with any interested party to discuss the Proposal, describe its intent, answer
 questions about the status of the mediation and identify (if feasible) a party's level of support
 for the Exempt Class Proposal.
- Met again individually with five (5) individual legal counsel representatives of individual landowners (as also referenced above in bullet 2) to discuss the Exempt Class Proposal

- Met with (as of August 18, 2022), ten (10) individual parties responding to the email offer to discuss the Exempt Class Proposal. General outcomes are very favorable of the proposal with a small number of parties supporting the approach but requested some revisions (to be addressed in the coming weeks).
- Scheduled to meet with twelve (12) additional parties responding to the email offer to discuss the Exempt Class Proposal.

All pages related to proof of service have been removed for purposes of posting on the Casitas Municipal Water District website.